
REPORT OF CABINET

MEETING HELD ON 15 MAY 2008

Chairman: * Councillor David Ashton

Councillors: * Marilyn Ashton * Barry Macleod-Cullinane
* Miss Christine Bednell † Chris Mote
* Tony Ferrari * Paul Osborn
* Susan Hall * Mrs Anjana Patel

* Denotes Member present
† Denotes apologies received

[Note: Councillor Margaret Davine also attended this meeting to speak on the item indicated at Minute 408 below. Councillor Eric Silver also attended this meeting to speak on the items indicated at Minutes 411 and 412 below].

PART I - RECOMMENDATIONS

RECOMMENDATION I - Key Decision - Harrow Core Strategy Preferred Options - Draft for Public Consultation

The Portfolio Holder for Planning, Development and Enterprise introduced the report which provided options for how growth would be managed in Harrow up to 2025 in the draft Harrow Core Strategy Preferred Options. She advised that this work was a completely different process to that of the Unitary Development Plan as it was more of a vision statement for the Borough.

The Portfolio Holder advised Cabinet that Harrow's preferred options for consultation required Council approval. Following that approval, there would be a six week consultation period prior to inspection by the Secretary of State.

The Portfolio Holder outlined option A, Harrow Central Growth Corridor, and option B, Public Transport Growth Focus. She advised that either option was acceptable, as it would mean that Members would be able to justify their refusal of planning applications contrary to the Local Development Framework.

Resolved to RECOMMEND: (to Council)

That the draft Harrow Core Strategy Preferred Options be approved for the purposes of consultation.

Reason for Recommendation: To comply with the legal requirements for making such a document and to meet the agreed timescales with the Government Office for London for the development of the Council's Local Development Framework (LDF) documents.

PART II - MINUTES403. **Declarations of Interest:**

RESOLVED: To note that the following interests were declared:

<u>Agenda Item</u>	<u>Member</u>	<u>Nature of Interest</u>
10. Adults and Housing Transformation Programme	Councillor Margaret Davine	The Member, who was not a member of Cabinet, declared a personal interest in that she had a relative who used Adult Services in the Borough. The Member remained in the room whilst the matter was considered and voted upon.
	Councillor Tony Ferrari	The Member declared a personal interest in that he had a relative in sheltered accommodation in the Borough. The Member remained in the room whilst the matter was considered and voted upon.
	Councillor Yogesh Teli	The Member, who was not a member of Cabinet, declared a personal interest in that he had a relative who used Adult Services in the Borough. The Member remained in the room whilst the matter was considered and voted upon.
15. Harrow Biodiversity Action Plan	Councillor Tony Ferrari	The Member declared a personal interest in that he was Chairman of the Harrow Weald Common Conservators. This was a Council appointment and the Member remained in the room whilst the matter was considered and voted upon.
16. Association of London Government TEC 101 Agreement Variation	Councillor Barry Macleod-Cullinane	The Member declared a personal interest in that he was an employee of London Councils. The Member, during the course of the meeting, decided that as the report was concerned with variations to the Freedom Pass, he would remain in the room whilst the matter was considered and voted upon.

404. **Minutes:**

RESOLVED: That the minutes of the meeting held on 10 April 2008, be taken as read and signed as a correct record.

405. **Arrangement of Agenda:**

RESOLVED: That all business be considered with the press and public present.

406. **Petitions:**

A representative of the Sangat BMER Carers Project presented a petition containing 42 signatures. He read the terms of the petition to the meeting, which were as follows:-

“Re: Sangat BMER Carers Project

We, the undersigned carers, totally disagree with Harrow Council's decision to close down BMER Carers Project.

As many of us have insufficient English, the project has been a lifeline for us and having fully participated in the Project, have felt very secure and welcome.

We urge the Leadership, for fairness and justice, to allow this project to continue instead of closing it down.”

In response, the Leader of the Council gave an undertaking to respond, in consultation with the Portfolio Holder for Adults and Housing, directly to the petitioner.

RESOLVED: That the petition be received and noted.

407. **Public Questions:**

RESOLVED: To note that the following public questions had been received:

1.

Questioner: Yvonne Lee

Asked of: Councillor Barry Macleod Cullinane, Portfolio Holder for Adults and Housing.

Question: As an organisation, Harrow Mencap welcome and fully support the principles of the total transformation plan. However will the benefits of control and choice that Self Directed support be available to people with Learning Disabilities who are currently in residential care within the three years of the programme?

Answer: Yes is the short answer.

People with learning disabilities who are currently living in residential care are already included in the pilot phase of the project and as the pilot phase extends, this group of individuals will continue to be fully included and encouraged to take up the opportunities that a self-directed model of care could offer.

In addition, as an integral part of the Self Directed Support project we will be working with provider organisations to develop the market in order to offer more choice to individuals which is likely to include different models of accommodation based provision such as shared ownership.

Supplemental Question: Given the past and current contractual situation, will the Council be renegotiating the contract?

Supplemental Answer: We will endeavour to provide you with a written response but it is too early to say.

2.

Questioner: John Feldman

Asked of: Councillor David Ashton, Leader of the Council and Portfolio Holder for Strategy, Partnership and Finance.

Question: The Cabinet is to consider the report from Corporate Director, Community and Environment, regarding the development of Cedars Hall, which recommends disposal for residential development if a Community Hall is not viable.

Why are you considering such a disposal despite fundamental flaws:

- The land forms part of the Cedars Open Space, and the Council is committed to maintaining such spaces.
- Residential building will be contrary to your UDP, and

- A covenant on the land preventing residential building will need to be broken by the Council?

Answer: The Cedars Hall site does not form part of the "Cedars Open Space", as shown on the Harrow UDP Proposals Map. It is located adjacent to land designated as Green Belt, which runs along the western and southern boundary of the site. Cedars Hall has no specific land use designation

The Council is committed to provide all new homes on previously developed land and as a brown field site, the redevelopment of Cedars Hall for residential use is acceptable in principle

Harrow Council is the successor of London County Council who is the beneficiary of the Covenant. As such Harrow Council can consent to alternative uses of the site. Any statutory trust arising under the Open Spaces Act 1906 has been discharged through compliance with the advertisement procedure set out in Section 123 (2A) of the Local Government Act 1972.

Necessary advertisements were published in December 2006.

Supplementary Question: Could the Leader confirm the status of the land that Cedars Hall is built on?

Supplemental Answer: As far as I am concerned, what I described just now in answer to your first question is the correct status. If there is an error in that, which I do not believe to be the case, I am happy to discuss the matter with you.

3.

Questioner: Frances Pickersgill

Asked of: Councillor David Ashton, Leader of the Council and Portfolio Holder for Strategy, Partnership and Finance.

Question: The report on Cedars Hall from the Corporate Director Community and Environment to be presented to the Cabinet is clearly not a paper of options as originally promised.

It is being presented on a yes / no decision basis with no scope for the Cabinet to discuss options for investigation or, according to the Council leader, 'to decide which options they would like to see developed further'.

The paper only proposes a community hall scheme put forward by the local community with severe time and achievement criteria. Your fall back position is the sale of the land for residential development. According to your officer, no additions or amendments to the paper are to be contemplated.

Answer: The Officers report clearly sets out a broad range of options which were discussed at the residents meeting on 27 February 2008 and were then subject to careful consideration by officers, leading to the recommendations which will be considered at Cabinet on Wednesday 21 May.

I understand that the residents clearly indicated a preference for open space to be created through the demolition of the existing Cedars Hall structure.

I further understand that residents' second preference, as expressed at the meeting, was for a community use Hall.

The recommendation that will be considered before Cabinet on 21 May is clearly responding most positively to the views expressed by residents.

Given the work that the TRA, representing the local community,

have already undertaken; and this is evident at appendix 4 of the Cedars Hall report; I believe that adequate time, and importantly Council support, is being provided to enable the residents proposal to be properly developed.

I confirm that Cabinet on 21 May will consider Council officers' alternative recommendation, ie to dispose of the land for housing development, should the community hall scheme fail.

Supplemental Question: Can we ask for an extension to the deadline for the submission of public questions?

Supplemental Answer: I would hope that it would not be necessary since the paper develops many of the thoughts already existing.

4.

Questioner: Dr Alan Bender

Asked of: Councillor David Ashton, Leader of the Council and Portfolio Holder for Strategy, Partnership and Finance.

Question: If the Council breaks the Restrictive Covenant on the Cedars Hall land to build residential properties, why should we believe that it won't break the promise, given by Councillor Ferrari at the local public meeting on 7 May, to keep Cedars Open Space free from building, as building would then not be restricted on the whole site?

Answer: The Council will not, as I mentioned before, the Council will not be breaking the Covenant. Harrow Council is – I'm repeating what was said before – Harrow Council is the successor of London County Council, who is the beneficiary of the Covenant. As such, Harrow Council can consent to alternative uses of the site. Any statutory trust arising under the Open Spaces Act 1906 has been discharged through compliance with the advertisement procedure set out in section 123 (2A) of the Local Government Act 1972.

This administration will not – will not – be bringing forward plans to build houses or other structures, in parks, including the Cedars Open Space, which do not wholly support the development of the parks facility. And I make that as clear as I can.

Supplemental Question: Why does the Council believe it can break the trust of ownership given to it by the LCC?

Supplemental Answer: Firstly we are not breaking the trust of ownership. Secondly, we are not the supposed owner, we are the owner. And thirdly, as I mentioned in answer to your first question, we are not breaking the Covenant. We do not intend to, we are simply taking advantage of the rules.

As a Council we clearly want to do the right thing for local residents. At the same time we have a responsibility to look financially at the assets concerned. If we can find a way, working with you, of having a satisfactory local facility, we will do so. We will. Thank you.

5.

Questioner: Julie Browne, Kids Can Achieve

Asked of: Councillor David Ashton, Leader of the Council and Portfolio Holder for Strategy, Partnership and Finance.

Question: In the report that they (Cabinet) will get from Andrew Trehern there are two options:

- a. Harrow Weald Residents' Association to submit proposals and plans etc. within a time-scale that we feel as regular funding applicants is more or less un-achievable.

- b. If these deadlines are not met then the site will be sold for development.

I was able to put forward a third proposal (copy of which sent to all cabinet members today [12 May 2008])

The attendees (of the public meeting on 7 May 2008) are asking that this and any additional options proposed by others should be considered?

Answer: It is understood that the contact was made with Andrew Trehern, the officer concerned, who put you in contact with Lee Choules, who is Vice Chair of the Weald TRA, who the Council is currently recognising to develop the community facilities option for this site and we would encourage you and frankly work with you, with them to see if we can mould that into the option on site.

Supplemental Question: Can any Council justify letting this community facility be taken away when our community groups and Harrow residents, desperately need space and resources, particularly when you are consulting on provision of public services, leisure and cultural facilities and protection of open space for future generations?

Supplemental Answer: We are entirely happy to find a way forward which is viable on that site for use of the local residents and wider public for Harrow. The difficulty is that we want to come to a conclusion. That site is deteriorating and it is necessary for all concerned to come to a sensible view.

[Note: In order to meet the requirements of the Constitution for the publication of the minutes, the supplemental questions and answers have been summarised. A full transcript of the supplemental questions and answers will appear on the Council's website as soon as possible.]

408. **Councillor Questions:**

RESOLVED: To note the following Councillor Question had been received:

1.

Questioner: Councillor Margaret Davine

Asked of: Councillor Barry Macleod Cullinane, Portfolio Holder for Adults and Housing

Question: The Adult and Housing Transformation Programme being considered at the Cabinet tonight lists one of the Adult Social Care performance targets at 2.12.1 – 'increase all service users with PCPs by 50% by March 2010'. Would the portfolio holder please tell us both the total number of service users and how many service users currently have person-centred plans?

Answer: Thank you for not being too brutal tonight on me. The total number of services users is approximately 4000 persons and that's spread across all client groups and all ages.

Currently person-centred planning is only being implemented within learning disability services as per the Valuing People Policy, although we do adopt person-centred approaches in other client groups such as the Care Programme Approach in Mental Health Services.

The total number of learning disability service users currently stands at 389, which is broken down into 226 community-based, 156 private residential, seven in nursing care. And the source of that is the RAP P1 Form 2007/08. And the number of those with person-centred Plans is approximately 70, representing 18% in total.

Supplemental Question: Do you not think, in the light of the large number of all users and the small number of currently person-centred plans held by them, the target of 50% for them over three years is quite small, if we're really going to implement this transformation plan and turn the service around. I notice for learning disabilities you have got 100%, but that would probably take you further than that anyway. So I just really wanted you to acknowledge that's quite a small amount – 50% of 70, and hope you would like to have a more stretching target.

Supplemental Answer: I think it represents a real step-change from where we are at the moment, a real improvement going forward. In terms of looking at the individuals with learning disabilities having person-centred planning, that's really important to pick up on, because everybody has very different needs and clearly learning disabilities, that's very much more apparent and marked and we have to respond in that way. In terms of actually increasing the larger number which are in terms of going up towards about a 50% target and hopefully beyond, we are not trying to push people into this and we want to get people to actually choose to take this as a real option, to improve and make sure that the services being offered are the correct and appropriate services for them and making sure that they're helped to make that transition. So it needs a target that we think is achievable, but it's one that we're not simply going to push onto people without thinking is it appropriate for the people involved in the person-centred planning process.

409. **Forward Plan 1 May - 31 August 2008:**

RESOLVED: To note the contents of the Forward Plan for the period 1 May – 31 August 2008.

410. **Reports from the Overview and Scrutiny Committee or Sub-Committees:**

RESOLVED: To note that there were no reports from the Overview and Scrutiny Committee or Sub-Committees.

411. **Inspection by CSCI - Independence, Wellbeing and Choice:**

The Leader of the Council welcomed Jean Hanson and Sue Bestjan from the Commission of Social Care Inspection (CSCI), John Ota from Harrow Primary Care Trust (PCT) and colleagues from the voluntary sector to the meeting. He advised that he would be inviting Councillor Eric Silver, the former Portfolio Holder, to comment on the presentation from CSCI and the Adults and Housing Transformation programme which appeared elsewhere on the agenda.

Jean Hanson, the Lead Inspector, presented CSCI's findings from their inspection carried out in January 2008. She drew attention to the easy to access version of the inspector's findings which had been made available at the meeting.

Jean Hanson outlined the strengths of the service and the areas for development. She reported the judgements of the inspectors as follows:-

- safeguarding of vulnerable adults was adequate;
- delivery of personalised services for people with learning disabilities was poor;
- Leadership, commissioning and capacity to further improve was uncertain.

Jean Hanson advised that CSCI's recommendations to address these judgements were set out as pages 5 and 6 of the report and that Sue Bestjan would be monitoring the action plan.

The Corporate Director of Adults and Housing, in thanking the inspectors, stated that the inspection was a good health check for the department and that he was particularly pleased at the findings in relation to safeguarding in that it had a good grounding.

Portfolio Holders made comments on the inspector's findings as follows:-

- the Council was committed to improving life for adults;
- the importance of keeping vulnerable people safe;

- there was concern in relation to the transition of vulnerable children reaching adulthood and being lost in the system between service areas;
- the relationship between the Council and the PCT was improving.

In response to the comments made by Members, Jean Hanson advised that:-

- services beyond Adult Services that required focus included areas such as Leisure Services and Transport;
- at the time of the inspection it had been felt that governance had been weak and she was unclear whether this issue had been addressed. This was an area that could be considered by scrutiny;
- she would forward any examples of good practice to the Corporate Director;
- the action plan addressed CSCI's recommendations;
- had the review been done a few months later, safeguarding of vulnerable adults might have been assessed as good.

John Ota welcomed the inspector's report and emphasised that the PCT were keen to look at all options to improve services.

Cabinet thanked the inspectors and accepted the recommendations.

412. **Key Decision - Adults and Housing Transformation Programme:**

Councillor Eric Silver, former Portfolio Holder for Adult Services, introduced the report which presented the Adult and Housing Services 3-year Transformation Programme Plan (TPP). This was a comprehensive strategy to renew, realign and enhance services to ensure that they met the future needs and aspirations of the people of Harrow.

Cabinet viewed a film on Adult Services in Harrow.

The Corporate Director of Adults and Housing detailed the key headlines of the programme including the concept of self directed support and the balance between choice and safety.

The Portfolio Holder for Adults and Housing commended the programme to Cabinet.

RESOLVED: That (1) the Adults and Housing Transformation programme be endorsed;

(2) authority be delegated to the Corporate Director of Adults and Housing, to initiate the implementation of the TPP.

- **Reason for Decision:** The TPP set out the framework which will enable Harrow's Adult and Housing Directorate to achieve a radical improvement in its national performance assessment rating and meet its aspiration to be assessed as providing an excellent service.

(See also Minute 403).

413. **Establishment of Committees, Advisory Panels and Consultative Forums:**

The Leader of the Council reported that the nominations for Chairman and membership of Cabinet's Committees, Advisory Panels and Consultative Forums were before Members for consideration and approval. He advised that, in accordance with Education (Admission Forum) (England) Regulations 2002, Regulation 7, the appointment of Chairman and Vice-Chairman of the Harrow Admissions Forum was a decision for that body.

RESOLVED: That appointments for the Municipal Year 2008/09 detailed in Appendix 1 to these minutes be approved.

414. **Timetable for the preparation and consideration of Statutory Plans and Strategies 2008/09:**

The Leader of the Council introduced the report, which set out the requirements of the Council's Constitution in terms of the development of the policy framework and sought approval to the timetable for statutory plans and strategies.

RESOLVED: That the timetable for the preparation and consideration of the statutory plans and strategies for 2008/09 set out at Appendix 2 to these minutes be approved.

Reason for Decision: To comply with the requirements of paragraph 3 of the Budget and Policy Framework Procedure Rules set out in Section 4C of the Council's Constitution.

415. **Annual Audit and Inspection Plan 2008-09:**

The Corporate Director of Finance introduced the report which provided Cabinet with an opportunity to comment on the Annual Audit and Inspection Plan. She drew Cabinet's attention to the increase in the Audit Commission fee of £38,000 (7%) to £608,000.

RESOLVED: That the Annual Audit and Inspection Plan be noted.

Reason for Decision: To ensure that Cabinet is aware of the planned audit and inspection activity for 2008-09.

416. **Key Decision - Harrow Core Strategy 'Preferred Options' Draft for Public Consultation:**

(See Recommendation I).

417. **Key Decision - Harrow Biodiversity Action Plan:**

The Portfolio Holder for Planning, Development and Enterprise introduced the Harrow Biodiversity Action Plan (BAP) which was to be implemented over the next 5 years, via the delivery of action plans specific to habitats and species of importance within Harrow, plus a generic action plan covering wider issues.

The Corporate Director of Community and Environment reported that there were no financial implications arising from the Plan and that the Plan was a critical component of the Corporate Assessment rating. The action plan had been developed with a broad range of organisations. Harrow's BAP was the Borough's contribution to the nationwide BAP.

RESOLVED: That the Harrow BAP be adopted.

Reasons for Decision: (1) To enable the Harrow BAP to be formally implemented;

(2) To enable the Harrow BAP to be formally recognised within the planning process, and to have greater weight when the BAP is used as a material consideration in determining planning applications;

(3) To enable the Harrow BAP to formally influence the development of the Harrow Local Development Framework.

(See also Minute 403).

418. **Association of London Government (ALG) TEC 101 Agreement Variation:**

The Corporate Director of Community and Environment introduced the report which sought to delegate further functions to the Association of London Government Transport and Environment Committee and to confirm that certain functions already undertaken by the Committee were delegated to it. He highlighted the reasons for the variation to the Agreement as detailed in his report.

RESOLVED: That the further variation of the Association of London Government Transport and Environment Committee Governing Agreement be approved.

Reason for Decision: The Council will join the other 32 London local authorities in empowering the Committee to discharge certain functions on behalf of those signatory authorities.

(See also Minute 403).

419. **Key Decision - Efficiency Reviews:**

The Portfolio Holder for Performance, Communication and Corporate Services introduced the report which provided the overarching context for the next round of efficiency reviews, a progress update on service reviews carried out in 2007/08 and presented lessons learnt and proposals for a refreshed programme of efficiency reviews for 2008-2011. The Reviews were required to address future funding gaps.

RESOLVED: That (1) the overarching context be noted;

(2) the findings of service reviews for 2007/08 be noted;

(3) the proposals for a new programme of efficiency reviews 2008-2011 be agreed;

(4) finalisation of the programme of review activity beyond the first 2 reviews be delegated to the Chief Executive/Deputy Chief Executive and Portfolio Holder Performance, Communications and Corporate Services.

Reasons for Decision: (1) To progress the delivery of efficiency savings and improvement through a strategic programme of reviews across the Council;

(2) to address the future funding gaps of £5.4m for 2009/10 and £6.9m for 2010/11.

420. **Key Decision - Local Involvement Networks:**

The Deputy Chief Executive introduced the report which outlined the local arrangements proposed for providing LINKs.

The Local Government and Public Involvement in Health Act had become law in October 2007 and requires the establishment of a Local Involvement Network (LINK) in each local area, including one in Harrow.

The Leader of the Council requested that a briefing note be circulated to all Members of the Council to explain LINKs and the relationship to the Adults and Housing Transformation Programme.

RESOLVED: That (1) the proposals for LINKs nationally be noted;

(2) the local progress made to set up LINKs in Harrow be noted;

(3) a contract be awarded for a three year period to commence on 2 June 2008 at a cost within the funding for LINKs provided by the Department of Health. To authorise the Deputy Chief Executive to award the contract;

(4) 10% of the Department of Health Grant be retained to fund the additional Council responsibilities associated with LINKs.

Reason for Decision: To ensure the Council meets its duty to tender for a contract with a 'host organisation' which will be commissioned to set up and support the LINK.

(Note: The meeting, having commenced at 7.30 pm, closed at 9.20 pm).

(Signed) COUNCILLOR DAVID ASHTON
Chairman

APPENDIX 1CABINET ADVISORY PANELS 2008/2009

(Membership in order of political group nominations)

ConservativeLabourLiberal Democrat**(1) BUSINESS TRANSFORMATION PARTNERSHIP PANEL (5)****(3)****(2)****I.**
Members**David Ashton**
Narinder Singh Mudhar
Paul Osborn (CH)**Navin Shah**
Bill Stephenson ***II.**
Reserve
Members1. Tony Ferrari
2. Robert Benson
3. Tom Weiss1. Thaya Idaikkadar
2. Keith Ferry
3. -

[Note: The Councillor representatives on the Partnership Board should be the same as the full-voting Members of the Business Transformation Partnership Panel.]

(2) CORPORATE PARENTING PANEL (6)**(4)****(2)****I**
Members**Husain Akhtar**
Miss Christine Bednell
Janet Mote (CH)
Eric Silver**Mrs Margaret Davine**
Mitzi Green**II.**
Reserve
Members1. Mrs Myra Michael
2. Mrs Vina Mithani
3. Julia Merison
4. Mark Versallion1. B E Gate
2. Raj Ray**(3) EDUCATION ADMISSIONS AND AWARDS PANEL (3)****(2)****(1)****I**
Members**Husain Akhtar**
Anjana Patel (CH)**Mrinal Choudhury *****II.**
Reserve
Members1. G Chowdhury
2. Julia Merison
3. Narinder Singh Mudhar1. Asad Omar
2. -
3. -

(4) GRANTS PANEL (10)**(6)****(4)****I.**
Members

Don Billson
G Chowdhury
Ashok Kulkarni
Mrs Myra Michael
Chris Mote (CH)
Joyce Nickolay

Ms Nana Asante
Asad Omar
Mrs Rekha Shah *
Mrs Sasi Suresh

II.
Reserve
Members

1. Manji Kara
 2. Yogesh Teli
 3. Narinder Singh Mudhar
 4. Jeremy Zeid
 5. Susan Hall
 6. Julia Merison

1. Nizam Ismail
 2. David Gawn
 3. Thaya Idaikkadar
 4. Krishna James

(5) HARROW BUSINESS CONSULTATIVE PANEL (4)**(2)****(2)****I.**
Members

Susan Hall
Manji Kara (CH)

Mrinal Choudhury *
Keith Ferry

II.
Reserve
Members

1. Yogesh Teli
 2. Mrs Vina Mithani
 3. Mrs Myra Michael

1. Thaya Idaikkadar
 2. Mrs Sasi Suresh
 3. -

(6) LOCAL DEVELOPMENT FRAMEWORK PANEL (7)**(4)****(3)****I**
Members

Marilyn Ashton (CH)
Robert Benson
Manji Kara
Joyce Nickolay

Keith Ferry
Thaya Idaikkadar
Navin Shah *

II.
Reserve
Members

1. G Chowdhury
 2. Don Billson
 3. Dinesh Solanki
 4. Julia Merison

1. Mano Dharmarajah
 2. Jerry Miles
 3. Raj Ray

(7) SUPPORTING PEOPLE PANEL (5)**(3)****(2)****I.**
Members**Jean Lammiman
Barry Macleod-Cullinane
Eric Silver (CH)****Mrs Margaret Davine *
David Gawn****II.**
Reserve
Members1. Mrs Myra Michael
2. Jeremy Zeid
3. Mrs Vina Mithani1. Krishna James
2. Nizam Ismail
3. -**(8) TRAFFIC PANEL (10)****(6)****(4)****I.**
Members**Robert Benson
Susan Hall (CH)
Manji Kara
Mrs Kinnear
Yogesh Teli
Jeremy Zeid****Mrinal Choudhury
Nizam Ismail
Jerry Miles *
David Perry****II.**
Reserve
Members1. G Chowdhury
2. Ashok Kulkarni
3. Salim Miah
4. Mrs Vina Mithani
5. Husain Akhtar1. Bob Currie
2. Graham Henson
3. Raj Ray
4. Keith Ferry**(CH)**
(VC)

= Chair

= Vice-Chair

* Denotes Group Members on Panels for consultation on administrative matters.

To note the membership of the following informal body.

BUDGET REVIEW WORKING GROUP (6)**(4)****(2)****I.**
Members**David Ashton (CH)
John Cowan
Richard Romain
Tom Weiss****Archie Foulds *
Bill Stephenson****II.**
Reserve
Members1. Salim Miah
2. Ashok Kulkarni
3. Yogesh Teli
4. Jeremy Zeid1. Thaya Idaikkadar
2. Mrinal Choudhury
3. -

CONSULTATIVE FORUMS**“ADVISORY” COMMITTEES ESTABLISHED UNDER SECTION 102(4) OF THE LOCAL GOVERNMENT ACT 1972, APPOINTED BY CABINET**

(Membership in order of political group nominations)

Conservative**Labour****(1) EDUCATION CONSULTATIVE FORUM (7)****(4)****(3)****I.
Members**

**Mrs Camilla Bath
Miss Christine Bednell
Janet Mote
Anjana Patel (CH)**

**B E Gate
Raj Ray
Bill Stephenson ***

**II.
Reserve
Members**

1. Husain Akhtar
2. Julia Merison
3. Mrs Vina Mithani
4. Jean Lammiman

1. Keeki Thammaiah
2. Nizam Ismail
3. David Perry

(Representatives of the Teachers', Governors', Elected Parent Governor Representatives', Denominational Representatives' and Arts Culture Representatives' Constituencies)
(Mrs C Millard (Governor Representative) (VC))

(2) EMPLOYEES' CONSULTATIVE FORUM (7)**Council Representatives****(4)****(3)****I.
Members**

**David Ashton
Mrs Camilla Bath
Susan Hall
Paul Osborn***

**Bob Currie
Graham Henson
Navin Shah ***

**II.
Reserve
Members**

1. Joyce Nickolay
2. Don Billson
3. Julia Merison
4. Tony Ferrari

1. B E Gate
2. Keith Ferry
3. Mrs Sasi Suresh

[Note: In accordance with the Forum's Terms of Reference, the Council membership should include the Leader and/or Deputy Leader, Portfolio Holder with responsibility for human resources].

Employee Representatives

Six UNISON Representatives

Lynne Ahmad, Kerry Bubenzer, Mary Cawley, Steve Compton, G Martin, (Vacancy)

One GMB Representative:
Three HTCC Representatives

Sanjay Karia
(To be advised)

(Note: The Chairman of the Employees' Committee shall be a Council side representative in 2007/2008, and the Vice-Chairman is to be appointed by the Employees' side. These appointments shall thereafter alternate in succeeding years) (? (Employee Representative) appointed VC 2007/08).

(3) TENANTS' AND LEASEHOLDERS' CONSULTATIVE FORUM (4)**(2)****I.**
Members**Barry Macleod-Cullinane (CH)**
Yogesh Teli**(2)****Bob Currie ***
Phillip O'Dell**II.**
Reserve
Members

1. G Chowdhury
2. Robert Benson
3. Mrs Kinnear

1. David Gawn
2. B E Gate
3. -

“ADVISORY” COMMITTEE ESTABLISHED UNDER SECTION 85A OF THE SCHOOLS STANDARDS FRAMEWORK ACT 1998

HARROW ADMISSIONS FORUM (3)

Conservative

(2)

**Anjana Patel
Dinesh Solanki**

Labour

(1)

Bill Stephenson *

Other Representatives

Community Schools (Governor)	-	Vacancy
Community Schools (Primary)	-	Sue Jones
Community Schools (Secondary)	-	Allan Jones
Jewish School	-	Mrs D Palman
Roman Catholic School	-	Mike Murphy
Church of England School	-	Mrs S Hinton
Church of England Diocese	-	Rev Paul Reece
Catholic Schools Diocese	-	Mr Billiet
Primary Elected Parent Governor Representative	-	Mrs D Speel
Secondary Elected Parent Governor Representative	-	Mr R Chauhan
Harrow Council for Racial Equality	-	Prem Pawar
Early Years Development Partnership	-	Helena Tucker
Children’s Services Representative	-	(Vacancy)

NB: Each school in the Borough is also entitled to appoint their own representative on this Forum.

(CH)

(VC)

*

= Chair

= Vice-Chair

Denotes Group Members on Panels for consultation on administrative matters.

**APPOINTMENT OF CHAIRS TO ADVISORY PANELS AND CONSULTATIVE FORUMS
FOR THE MUNICIPAL YEAR 2008-09**

Advisory Panel

Budget Review Working Group
 Business Transformation Partnership Panel
 Corporate Parenting Panel
 Education Admissions and Awards Advisory
 Panel
 Grants Advisory Panel
 Harrow Business Consultative Panel
 Local Development Framework Advisory Panel
 Supporting People Advisory Panel
 Traffic Advisory Panel

Nominee

Councillor David Ashton
 Councillor Paul Osborn
 Councillor Janet Mote
 Councillor Anjana Patel

 Councillor Chris Mote
 Councillor Manji Kara
 Councillor Marilyn Ashton
 Councillor Eric Silver
 Councillor Susan Hall

Consultative Forum

Education Consultative
 Employees' Consultative *

 Tenants' and Leaseholders' Consultative

Councillor Anjana Patel
 To be appointed from employee side
 representation
 Councillor Barry Macleod-Cullinane

Other Forum

Harrow Admissions

To be decided by the Forum

[* Note: The appointment of the Chairman for 2008-09 will be an Employee side representative and the Vice Chair is to be appointed by the Employer's side].

REPRESENTATION ON OTHER SOCIAL SERVICES EXECUTIVE BODIES FOR THE MUNICIPAL YEAR 2008/09

<u>BODY</u>	<u>Nominee</u>
Adoption Panel	Councillor Miss Christine Bednell
Fostering Panel	Councillor Miss Christine Bednell

[Note: In relation to the Adoption Panel, the statutory guidance states that 'where possible, the Local Authority should appoint an elected member from the corporate parenting group or a member with responsibility for children's services.']

REPRESENTATION ON HOMES LIMITED (FORMERLY WARDEN HOUSING COMMITTEE AND RAYNERS LANE ESTATE COMMITTEE) FOR THE MUNICIPAL YEAR 2008/09

<u>BODY</u>	<u>Nominees</u>
Homes Limited (formerly Warden Housing Committee and Rayners Lane Estate Committee)	Councillor Bob Currie Councillor Graham Henson

[Note: The nominees are local Roxbourne Ward Councillors]

REPRESENTATION RE – PRIMARY CARE TRUST JOINT WORKING BODIES FOR THE MUNICIPAL YEAR 2008/09

<u>BODY</u>	<u>Appointment</u>
Health and Social Integration Board	Councillor Barry Macleod-Cullinane Councillor Mrs Margaret Davine
Adult Health and Social Care Partnership	Councillor Barry Macleod-Cullinane Councillor Mrs Margaret Davine
Children and Young People's Partnership	Councillor Miss Christine Bednell Councillor Bill Stephenson

[Note: There are two appointments for each body]

APPOINTMENT OF CABINET SUPPORT MEMBERS 2008/09

Cabinet Member	Assistant (Support Member)
<u>Cllr David Ashton</u> Leader (Strategy, Partnership and Finance)	(1) Cllr John Cowan (2) Cllr Tom Weiss
<u>Cllr Susan Hall</u> Deputy Leader, Environment Services & Community Safety	Cllr Julia Merison
<u>Cllr Marilyn Ashton</u> Planning, Development & Enterprise	Cllr Joyce Nickolay
<u>Cllr Christine Bednell</u> Children's Services	Cllr Husain Akhtar
<u>Councillor Tony Ferrari</u> Major Contracts & Property	(1) Cllr Richard Romain (2) Cllr Jeremy Zeid
<u>Cllr Barry McLeod-Cullinane</u> Adults & Housing	(1) Cllr Jean Lammiman (2) Cllr Yogesh Teli
<u>Cllr Chris Mote</u> Community & Cultural Services	(1) Cllr Manji Kara (2) Cllr Golam Chodhury
<u>Cllr Paul Osborn</u> Performance, Communication & Corporate Services	Cllr Narinder Mudhar Singh
<u>Cllr Anjana Patel</u> Schools & Children's Development	Cllr Husain Akhtar

APPOINTMENTS TO THE BTP PARTNERSHIP BOARD 2008/09

I.
Members

David Ashton
Narinder Singh Mudhar
Paul Osborn (CH)

Navin Shah
Bill Stephenson

II.
Reserve
Members

1. Tony Ferrari
2. Robert Benson
3. Tom Weiss

1. Thaya Idaikkadar
2. Keith Ferry

APPENDIX 2

STATUTORY PLAN/ STRATEGY 2008/9 (AND DEADLINE DATE)	OVERVIEW AND SCRUTINY COMMITTEE	CABINET MEETING	COUNCIL MEETING
1. BEST VALUE PERFORMANCE PLAN (30 JUNE 2008)	21 July 2008 (Finance and Performance Scrutiny Sub-Committee)	19 June 2008	10 July 2008
2. DEVELOPMENT PLAN a) Core Strategy	7 January 2009	15 January 2009	Tbc – January 2009
3. LOCAL IMPLEMENTATION PLAN	Approved in 2006 to 2008/2009		
4. CORPORATE PLAN	10 February 2009	12 February 2009	19 February 2009
5. COMMUNITY SAFETY PLAN (FORMERLY CRIME AND DRUGS REDUCTION STRATEGY)	29 July 2008	18 September 2008	30 October 2008
6. SUSTAINABLE COMMUNITY STRATEGY	4 November 2008	13 November 2008	19 February 2009
7. YOUTH JUSTICE PLAN	The Youth Justice Board have advised that a Youth Justice Plan will not be required this year (08/09)		
8. CHILDREN AND YOUNG PEOPLE STRATEGIC PLAN	Approved in 2006 for a 3 year period – Review 2009 →		
9. GAMBLING POLICY	Approved in 2006 for a 3 year period – Review 2009 →		
10. LICENSING POLICY	Approved in 2007 for a 3 year period – Review 2010 →		